

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-7 and 9-32 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 12 and 22 are independent claims. No claims are presently amended. Claims 1-7 and 9-32 stand rejected.

### ***Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102***

Claim 1-7 and 9-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fukasawa et al, U.S. Patent No. 7,154,538 (“Fukasawa”). Without conceding the propriety of the rejections issued by the examiner in the Official action of 10/15/2008 (“Official Action”), applicants presently amend claims 1, 12, and 22 to clarify the subject matter recited in the claims.

Regarding **claim 1**, applicants respectfully submit that the cited portions of Fukasawa fail to anticipate claim 1. Claim 1 recites, in part:

a first data store comprising multimedia files having historical information wherein the multimedia files are generated by at least one multimedia application program;

a second data store comprising schedule data *wherein the schedule data is generated by an application program that is a different application program than the at least one multimedia application program;*

(Emphasis added.) By way of non-limiting examples, applicants note that paragraph 0035 of their disclosure and the table following paragraph 0035 provide a number of application programs which are capable of generating schedule data which are different from multimedia application programs which generate multimedia files. The examiner cited to Fukasawa’s creation time 708 (Figure 7) as teaching the multimedia files having historical information and to image capturing schedule 704 (Figure 7) as teaching the schedule data generated by a different application program. (Official Action, page 3; see also col. 9, lines 1-57.)

Fukasawa describes the screenshot depicted in Figure 7:

*each item [in display 704] represents a time when the corresponding image was captured from the video camera.* In FIG. 7, the image data 705 which was captured at 05:00 a.m. is selected. The display area 706 displays the image data 707 and the image information data 708. The *image information data 708 includes the image data capture date, the image data capture time,* the size of the captured image data...

(Emphasis added.) As Fukasawa describes, the list in area 704 represents image capture times of a variety of images. Referencing the items in Fukasawa’s Figure 7, the selected

image creation time 705 that is selected in display area 704 corresponds to the displayed image 707. And, the information displayed in 708 corresponds to displayed image 707. Thus, the image creation time 708 and the selected image creation time 705 (which is within display area 704) is *the same image creation time* generated by Fukasawa's video camera. Because Fukasawa's image capture time in 704 are the same as the image capture time 708, applicant's respectfully submit that the cited portions of Fukasawa fail to teach or suggest "the schedule data is generated by an application program that is a *different application program* than the at least one multimedia application program" as recited by claim 1. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is patentably defined over the cited art and request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1.

Independent **claims 12 and 22** stand rejected for essentially the same reasons as the reasons for the rejection of claim 1. Further, similar to the recitations of claim 1 noted above, claims 12 and 22 each recite, in part, "the associated time function are generated by an application program that is a *different application program* than the at least one application program that generated the media files." For at least the reasons discussed above regarding claim 1, applicants respectfully submit that claims 12 and 22 are patentably defined over the cited art and request withdrawal of the rejection of claims 12 and 22.

Dependent **claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-21, and 23-32** depend, directly or indirectly, from claims 1, 8, and 22. Inasmuch as these claims depend on claims which are patentably defined over the cited art, applicants submit that claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-21, and 23-32 are patentably defined over the cited art. Accordingly, applicants request withdrawal of claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-21, and 23-32.

## **CONCLUSION**

In the view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application and an early Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested. In the event that the Examiner cannot allow the application for any reason, the Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicants' representative.

**DOCKET NO.:** MSFT-0738/183225.01  
**Application No.:** 10/075,519  
**Office Action Dated:** October 15, 2008

**PATENT**

Date: January 14, 2009

/Jon M. Isaacson/  
Jon M. Issacson  
Registration No. 60,436

Woodcock Washburn LLP  
Cira Centre  
2929 Arch Street, 12th Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891  
Telephone: (215) 568-3100  
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439